

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,091	09/12/2003	Paul N. Marshall	P-1728-1	8866
23413 7590 10/12/2005			EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			CHU, CHRIS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELI	J, C1 00002		2815	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Me				
Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/662,091	MARSHALL, PAUL	N.				
Examiner	Art Unit					
Chris C. Chu	2815					
ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of owing replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the						
date of the final rejection.						
visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of		er is later. In no				
). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI		OWT NIHTIW O				
f). n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37						
tatutory period for reply originally set in the hs after the mailing date of the final rejection						
extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
onsideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
ow); etter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
). 121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
s): be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of ovided below or appended.						
but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary						

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/662,091	MARSHALL, PAUL N.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chris C. Chu	2815		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appe THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or containing the second this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: a) The period for reply expires ___months from the mailing b) 🔀 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must **AMENDM**ENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 20 and 21 would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1 - 15. Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: <u>16 - 19</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). / Howas 13. 🔲 Other: ___ TOM THOMAS

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented in pages 7 and 8 of the Response to the Final Office action have been carefully reviewed but fail to be persuasive because claim 16 does not specifically claim that an optical IC positioned for signal communication through a substrate or cooling plate has a vertical signal path through the substrate or cooling plate without any horizontal signals between the optical IC to the substrate or cooling plate. A reasonable interpretation of the limitation "first optical signal path" includes the structure taught by Coronel et al.